

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/484,895 | Applicant(s) HARRINGTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Quang Nguyen, Ph.D. | Art Unit 1636 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final

(1) Cynthia L. Kanik.

(3) _____.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

109

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney Kanik on 5/27/04 to propose amendment to the pending claim 109 which lacks antecedent basis, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. However, attorney Kanik informed examiner that Applicants also would like to add several other amendments to the pending claims. Due to the time constraint, Examiner suggests Applicants to do so in response to the next Office Action.